



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/596,851	06/19/2000	Gary M. Diamond	99-109	4220

7590 01/14/2002  
Ronald A. Krasnow, Esq.  
Symyx Technologies, Inc.  
3100 Central Expressway  
Santa Clara, CA 95051

EXAMINER

GARCIA, MAURIE E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1627

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/596,851

Applicant(s)

Diamond et al

Examiner

Maurie E. Garcia, Ph. D.

Group Art Unit

1627



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Maurie E. Garcia, Ph. D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Ron Krasnow

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 9, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Claims of Groups II and III

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the recombination of the claims from Groups II and III of the original Restriction Requirement.

Discussed the terminology used in the claims of "determining polymerization performance", "determining a property" and also measuring "polymerization activity".

Applicant will clarify this when responding to the Restriction Requirement mailed December 31, 2001 in writing.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

MAURIE E. GARCIA, PH. D.  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1627